NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

GEORGE PIECZENIK, Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BAYER CORPORATION, BAYER CROPSCIENCE (NEW JERSEY) INC., BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., BAYER HEALTHCARE, LLC, BAYER MATERIALSCIENCE LLC, BAYER PHARMA CHEMICALS INC., BAYER PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION, AND SCHERING BERLIN INC., Defendants-Appellees,

AND

ABBOTT LABORATORIES, ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC., AND SOLVAY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Defendants-Appellees,

AND

ALLERGAN USA, INC., CORNING
INCORPORATED, GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.,
HOWARD HUGHES MEDICAL INSTITUTE,
IDEXX REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC.,
QIAGEN INCORPORATED, SHIONOGI PHARMA
SALES, INC., SHIONOGI PHARMA, INC.,
SHIONOGI USA HOLDINGS, INC.,
AND SHIONOGI USA, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

AMGEN USA, INC., AMGEN, INC., PHARMACIA & UPJOHN COMPANY LLC, PHARMACIA CORPORATION, SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, INC., SYNGENTA SEEDS, INC., WYETH HOLDINGS CORPORATION, AND WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS INC..

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

ANTYRA, INC., Defendant-Appellee,

AND

ASTELLAS PHARMA US, INC., ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP. AVENTIS INC., AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC., BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM VETMEDICA, INC., BOEHRINGER INGELHEIMROXANE, INC., CANON U.S.A., INC., DAIICHI SANKYO, INC., DAINIPPON SUMITOMO PHARMA AMERICA HOLDINGS, INC., DAINIPPON SUMITOMO PHARMA AMERICA, INC., MEDIMMUNE LLC, MITSUBISHI TANABE PHARMA AMERICA, INC., MITSUBISHI TANABE PHARMA DEVELOPMENT AMERICA, INC., MITSUBISHI TANABE PHARMA HOLDINGS AMERICA, INC., NOVARTIS CORPORATION, NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION, NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS, INC., OSI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. INC., SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC, SCHERING CORPORATION, SCHERING-PLOUGH **BIOPHARMA, SCHERING-PLOUGH** INTERNATIONAL, INC., SCHERING-PLOUGH

PRODUCTS, INC., SIEMENS CORPORATION, SIEMENS DIAGNOSTICS FINANCE CO. LLC, SIEMENS HEALTHCARE DIAGNOSTICS INC., AND SIEMENS MEDICAL SOLUTIONS USA, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

BAXTER DIAGNOSTICS INC., BIOGEN IDEC INC., BIOGEN IDEC U.S. CORPORATION, MEDAREX, INC., MILLENIUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., MONSANTO AG PRODUCTS LLC, MONSANTO COMPANY, ONYX PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ZYMOGENETICS, INC., AND ZYMOGENETICS, LLC, Defendants-Appellees,

AND

BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS INC., . Defendant-Appellee.

AND

CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH PRODUCTS, L.P., CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH SERVICES, CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ORTHO-MCNEIL JANSSEN SCIENTIFIC AFFAIRS, LLC, ORTHO-MCNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., AND ORTHO-MCNEIL, INC., Defendants-Appellees,

AND

DYAX CORPORATION, FOREST LABORATORIES, INC., GENZYME CORPORATION, GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC, KYOWA HAKKO KIRIN AMERICA, INC., KYOWA HAKKO KIRIN PHARMA, INC., AND PERKINELMER HEALTH SCIENCES, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & COMPANY, Defendant-Appellee,

AND

GE HEALTHCARE BIOSCIENCES BIOPROCESS CORP., GE HEALTHCARE BIOSCIENCES CORP., GE HEALTHCARE INC., AND GE HEALTHCARE STRATEGIC SOURCING CORPORATION,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

INVITROGEN CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

SOLVAY CHEMICALS, INC.,

 $Defendant \hbox{-} Appellee,$

AND

TAIHO PHARMA U.S.A., INC.,

Defendant-Appellee,

AND

THE DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC, THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY (DELAWARE), AND THE DOW CORNING CORPORATION,

Defendants-Appellees,

AND

NOVO NORDISK, INC. AND JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 61,

Defendants.

2011-1121, -1153

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in case no. 10-CV-2230, Judge Joel A. Pisano.

ON MOTION

ORDER

Before RADER, Chief Judge, NEWMAN and BRYSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

The Defendants-Appellees move to dismiss George Pieczenik's appeals. Pieczenik opposes.

Pieczenik has appealed from orders denying his motion "in limine to expunge plaintiff's copyright lecture proffered by defendants' counsel and to charge them with digital copyright infringement," denying his motion for mediation, and his motion for reconsideration. Proceedings before the trial court remain ongoing. With the exception of certain interlocutory orders not at issue here, this court only has jurisdiction to decide appeals only from a "final decision of a district court." 28 U.S.C. § 1295. The Supreme Court has defined a final judgment as a decision by the district court that "ends the litigation on the merits and leaves

nothing for the court to do but execute judgment." Catlin v. United States, 324 U.S. 229, 233 (1945). Because the district court has not entered final judgment, the appeals are dismissed as premature.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) These appeals are dismissed.
- (2) Each side shall bear its own costs.
- (3) All other pending motions are moot.

FOR THE COURT

MAY 0 3 2011

Date

/s/ Jan Horbaly Jan Horbaly Clerk

cc: Chad J. Peterman, Esq. Liza M. Walsh, Esq. Daniel J. Thomasch, Esq. Jane E. Keene, Esq. Robert L. Baechtold, Esq. Susan Haberman Griffen, Esq. David W. Field, Esq. Robert J. Koch, Esq. Robert M. Goodman, Esq. Donald R. Ware, Esq. Mark A. Pals, Esq. Alexander A. Anglim, Esq. Lisa A. Schneider, Esq. Christopher J. Harnett, Esq. Matthew M. Wolf, Esq. Robert J. Schoenberg, Esq. Matthew Daniel Murphey, Esq.

#ILED

#S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR
THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

MAY 03 2011

JAN HORBALY CLERK William F. Lee, Esq.
Eric J. Marandett, Esq.
David Keith Barr, Esq.
David E. De Lorenzi, Esq.
Keith J. Miller, Esq.
Kevin J. McKenna, Esq.
Joseph A. Mahoney, Esq.
Heather D. Redmond, Esq.
Michael D. Kaminski, Esq.
Robert M. Isackson, Esq.
George Pieczenik

s19