

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**IN RE: DATATREASURY CORPORATION,**  
*Appellant*

---

2016-1250

---

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark  
Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. 90/012,537.

---

Decided: October 13, 2016

---

DEREK TOD GILLILAND, Nix Patterson & Roach LLP,  
Daingerfield, TX, argued for appellant. Also represented  
by CHRISTIAN JOHN HURT, Dallas, TX.

WILLIAM LAMARCA, Office of the Solicitor, United  
States Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA,  
argued for appellee Michelle K. Lee. Also represented by  
THOMAS W. KRAUSE, ROBERT MCBRIDE.

---

Before MOORE, WALLACH, and CHEN, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

We deny the appeal as moot in light of our order in  
*DataTreasury Corp. v. Fidelity National Information*, No.  
16-1046.

Appellant DataTreasury Corp. also moved for costs under Rule 30, arguing the Director should reimburse DataTreasury for printing costs relating to unnecessary pages included in the Joint Appendix. Docket No. 32. We deny the motion, but we caution the Director to carefully evaluate which material it elects to cite in the Joint Appendix and only include material relevant to the issues disputed in a particular appeal.