

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

ALEXANDER SHUKH,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

**SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC, SEAGATE
TECHNOLOGY, INC., SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY,**
Defendants-Appellees

UNKNOWN OWNERS AND ASSIGNEES,
Defendant

SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY PLC,
Defendant-Appellee

2015-1012

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
District of Minnesota in No. 0:10-cv-00404-JRT-JJK,
Judge John R. Tunheim.

Decided: October 2, 2015

CONSTANTINE JOHN GEKAS, Gekas Law Ltd., Chicago,
IL, argued for plaintiff-appellant.

CHAD DROWN, Faegre Baker Daniels LLP, Minneapolis, MN, argued for defendants-appellees. Also represented by DAVID J.F. GROSS, CHARLES FEENEY KNAPP, ELIZABETH COWAN WRIGHT, AARON D. VAN OORT; CALVIN L. LITSEY, East Palo Alto, CA.

Before MOORE, WALLACH, and TARANTO, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

In light of our holding in *Shukh v. Seagate Technology, LLC*, Case No. 14-1406, vacating and remanding in part the district court's judgment on the merits against Alexander Shukh, we vacate and remand the district court's costs judgment.

VACATED AND REMANDED

COSTS

No costs.