

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

In re: LUCAS DANIEL REGAN,
Petitioner

2021-106

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United States
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

ON PETITION

PER CURIAM.

O R D E R

Lucas Daniel Regan, I, files a submission received December 8, 2020 stating that the “one and only supreme law of [his] religion has which is as follows . . . DO WHAT YOU WANT,” Pet. at 4, and appears to argue that operation of the Supremacy Clause and the First Amendment prohibit laws that impinge on his religious exercise. We regard the submission as seeking mandamus relief.

We must first address our jurisdiction to consider Rev. Regan’s submission. *See PIN/NIP, Inc. v. Platte Chem. Co.*, 304 F.3d 1235, 1241 (Fed. Cir. 2002). This is a court of limited subject matter jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1295. Rev. Regan does not identify any decision from which he

appeals or petitions for review, much less a decision within the confines of section 1295.

The All Writs Act provides that the federal courts “may issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the usages and principles of law.” 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a). As that statute makes clear, however, the Act is not itself a grant of jurisdiction. *See Clinton v. Goldsmith*, 526 U.S. 529, 534–35 (1999). It confines issuing such relief only in cases that would fall within this court’s limited jurisdiction if a proper appeal had been perfected. Because Rev. Regan identifies no such case, we must also deny any mandamus relief.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The petition is dismissed.

FOR THE COURT

December 23, 2020
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner
Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court