

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC,
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

**T-MOBILE (UK) LTD., T-MOBILE AUSTRIA GMBH,
T-MOBILE CZECH REPUBLIC A.S., T-MOBILE
DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, T-MOBILE HUNGARY CO.
LTD., T-MOBILE NETHERLANDS B.V., AND T-
MOBILE SLOVENSKO A.S.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**ADVANCED INFO SERVICE PLC, ALSO KNOWN
AS AIS, BELL MOBILITY INC., CSL NEW WORLD
MOBILITY LIMITED, CHINA MOBILE PEOPLES
TELEPHONE COMPANY LIMITED, NOW KNOWN
AS CHINA MOBILE HONG KONG COMPANY
LIMITED, KT FREETEL CO. LTD., NOW KNOWN
AS KT CORPORATION, SINGAPORE TELECOM
MOBILE PRIVATE LIMITED, SINGAPORE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, ALSO KNOWN
AS SINGTEL, SINGTEL OPTUS PTY LIMITED,
STARHUB MOBILE PTE LTD., AND TELSTRA
CORPORATION LIMITED,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**AMERICA MOVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V., CLARO, S.A.,
AMX ARGENTINA, S.A., AND RADIOMOVIL DIPSA,
S.A. DE C.V., ALSO KNOWN AS TELCEL,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**BELGACOM MOBILE S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS
PROXIMUS, MOBILKOM AUSTRIA AG, SFR, ALSO
KNOWN AS SOCIETE FRANCAISE DE
RADIOTELEPHONE S.A., SMARTONE MOBILE
COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, TANGO S.A.,
VODAFONE CZECH REPUBLIC A.S., VODAFONE
D2 GMBH, ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE
GERMANY, VODAFONE ESPANA S.A., VODAFONE
ESSAR LTD., VODAFONE HUNGARY MOBILE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS LTD., VODAFONE
IRELAND LTD., VODAFONE LIBERTEL B.V.,
VODAFONE LIMITED, ALSO KNOWN AS
VODAFONE UK, VODAFONE NETWORK PTY.
LTD., VODAFONE NEW ZEALAND, VODAFONE
OMNITEL N.V., VODAFONE PORTUGAL,
COMUNICACOES PESSOAIS, S.A., VODAFONE
TELEKOMUNIKASYON A.S., ALSO KNOWN AS
VODAFONE TURKEY, AND VODAFONE-PANAFON
HELLENIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE-PANAFON S.A.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

TNL PCS S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS OI,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**BASE N.V./S.A., E-PLUS MOBILFUNK GMBH & CO.
KG, AND KPN B.V.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

BERMUDA DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS LTD.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

BOUYGUES TELECOM S.A.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**CHUNGHWA TELECOM CO. LTD.,
FAR EASTONE TELCOMMUNICATIONS CO. LTD.,
AND TAIWAN MOBILE CO., LTD.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

CLICKATELL (PTY) LTD.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**FRANCE TELECOM ESPANA S.A., ALSO-KNOWN
AS ORANGE SPAIN, FRANCE TELECOM S.A.,
MOBISTAR N.V., ORANGE AUSTRIA
TELECOMMUNICATION GMBH, FORMERLY
KNOWN AS ONE GMBH, ORANGE
COMMUNICATIONS S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS
ORANGE SWITZERLAND, ORANGE FRANCE S.A.,
ORANGE PLC, ALSO KNOWN AS ORANGE U.K.,
ORANGE S.A., ORANGE SLOVENSKO A.S., AND
VOX MOBILE S.A.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**H3G S.P.A., ALSO KNOWN AS 3 ITALIA,
HUTCHISON 3G AUSTRIA GMBH, HUTCHISON 3G
UK LIMITED, AND HUTCHISON
TELECOMMUNICATIONS (HONG KONG)
LIMITED,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

KDDI CORPORATION,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

PCCW MOBILE HK LIMITED,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

YAHOO! INC.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**KABUSHIKI KAISHA NTT DOCOMO AND
SOFTBANK MOBILE CORP.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

M3 WIRELESS LTD.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**NETCOM AS, NOW KNOWN AS TELIASONERA
NORGE AS AND TELIA DANMARK A/S,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**TMN-TELECOMUNICACOES MOVEIS NACIONAIS,
S.A.,**
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**O2 (GERMANY) GMBH & CO. OHG, O2 (UK)
LIMITED, O2 COMMUNICATIONS (IRELAND)
LTD., PEGASO PCS, S.A. DE C.V., TELEFONICA
MOVILES ARGENTINA, S.A., TELEFONICA
MOVILES ESPANA, S.A.U., TELEFONICA MOVILES
MEXICO, S.A. DE C.V., TELEFONICA O2 CZECH**

**REPUBLIC, A.S., TELEFONICA O2 EUROPE PLC,
ALSO KNOWN AS O2 PLC, TELEFONICA, S.A.,
VIVO PARTICIPACOES, S.A., AND VIVO, S.A.,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

**PANNON GSM TELECOMMUNICATIONS LTD.,
SONOFON A/S, SWISSCOM MOBILE A.G., TDC A/S,
TDC SWITZERLAND AG, ALSO KNOWN AS
SUNRISE, TELENOR MOBIL A.S., AND TOTAL
ACCESS COMMUNICATION PLC, ALSO KNOWN
AS DTAC,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

SONAECOM-SERVICOS DE COMUNICACOES, S.A.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**TELECOM ITALIA S.P.A., TELECOM PERSONAL
S.A., TIM CELULAR S.A., AND TIM
PARTICIPACOES S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS TIM
BRAZIL,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

TRUE MOVE COMPANY LIMITED,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**WIND HELLAS TELECOMMUNICATIONS S.A. AND
WIND TELECOMUNICAZIONI SPA,**
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

AVEA ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

T-MOBILE USA, INC.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

AT&T MOBILITY LLC,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**TELE-MOBILE COMPANY, ALSO KNOWN AS
TELUS MOBILITY,**
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

ROGERS WIRELESS PARTNERSHIP,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

PALM, INC.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, DOING BUSINESS AS
VERIZON WIRELESS,**
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

HELIO, LLC AND SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION,
Defendants-Appellees,

AND

LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**MOTOROLA, INC., NOW KNOWN AS MOTOROLA
SOLUTIONS, INC.,**
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

IDEA CELLULAR LIMITED,
Defendant-Appellee,

AND

**DEUTSCHE TELEKOM AG, MOBILEONE LTD.,
ORANGE LIMITED, ORANGE NEDERLAND N.V.,
TURKCELL ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S., AND
UPSIDE WIRELESS INC., ALSO KNOWN AS IPIPI,**
Defendants,

AND

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,
Intervenor.

2011-1581

Appeal from the United States District Court for the
District of Maryland in case no. 07-CV-3012, Judge Alex-
ander Williams, Jr.

TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

**T-MOBILE (UK) LTD., T-MOBILE AUSTRIA GMBH,
T-MOBILE CZECH REPUBLIC A.S., T-MOBILE
DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, T-MOBILE HUNGARY CO.
LTD., T-MOBILE NETHERLANDS B.V., AND T-
MOBILE SLOVENSKO A.S.,**
Defendants,

AND

ADVANCED INFO SERVICE PLC, ALSO KNOWN AS AIS, BELL MOBILITY INC., CSL NEW WORLD MOBILITY LIMITED, CHINA MOBILE PEOPLES TELEPHONE COMPANY LIMITED, NOW KNOWN AS CHINA MOBILE HONG KONG COMPANY LIMITED, KT FREETEL CO. LTD., NOW KNOWN AS KT CORPORATION, SINGAPORE TELECOM MOBILE PRIVATE LIMITED, SINGAPORE TELECOMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, ALSO KNOWN AS SINGTEL, SINGTEL OPTUS PTY LIMITED, STARHUB MOBILE PTE LTD., AND TELSTRA CORPORATION LIMITED,

Defendants,

AND

AMERICA MOVIL, S.A.B. DE C.V., CLARO, S.A., AMX ARGENTINA, S.A., AND RADIOMOVIL DIPSA, S.A. DE C.V., ALSO KNOWN AS TELCEL,

Defendants,

AND

BELGACOM MOBILE S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS PROXIMUS, MOBILKOM AUSTRIA AG, SFR, ALSO KNOWN AS SOCIETE FRANCAISE DE RADIOTELEPHONE S.A., SMARTONE MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, TANGO S.A., VODAFONE CZECH REPUBLIC A.S., VODAFONE D2 GMBH, ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE GERMANY, VODAFONE ESPANA S.A., VODAFONE ESSAR LTD., VODAFONE HUNGARY MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS LTD., VODAFONE IRELAND LTD., VODAFONE LIBERTEL B.V., VODAFONE LIMITED, ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE UK, VODAFONE NETWORK PTY. LTD., VODAFONE NEW ZEALAND, VODAFONE OMNITEL N.V., VODAFONE PORTUGAL,

**COMUNICACOES PESSOAS, S.A., VODAFONE
TELEKOMUNIKASYON A.S., ALSO KNOWN AS
VODAFONE TURKEY, AND VODAFONE-PANAFON
HELLENIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS VODAFONE-PANAFON S.A.,**
Defendants,

AND

TNL PCS S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS OI,
Defendant,

AND

**BASE N.V./S.A., E-PLUS MOBILFUNK GMBH & CO.
KG, AND KPN B.V.,**
Defendants,

AND

BERMUDA DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS LTD.,
Defendant,

AND

BOUYGUES TELECOM S.A.,
Defendant,

AND

**CHUNGHWA TELECOM CO. LTD.,
FAR EASTONE TELCOMMUNICATIONS CO. LTD.,
AND TAIWAN MOBILE CO., LTD.,**
Defendants,

AND

CLICKATELL (PTY) LTD.,
Defendant,

AND

**FRANCE TELECOM ESPANA S.A., ALSO KNOWN
AS ORANGE SPAIN, FRANCE TELECOM S.A.,
MOBISTAR N.V., ORANGE AUSTRIA**

**TELECOMMUNICATION GMBH, FORMERLY
KNOWN AS ONE GMBH, ORANGE
COMMUNICATIONS S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS
ORANGE SWITZERLAND, ORANGE FRANCE S.A.,
ORANGE PLC, ALSO KNOWN AS ORANGE U.K.,
ORANGE S.A., ORANGE SLOVENSKO A.S., AND
VOX MOBILE S.A.,**
Defendants,

AND

**H3G S.P.A., ALSO KNOWN AS 3 ITALIA,
HUTCHISON 3G AUSTRIA GMBH, HUTCHISON 3G
UK LIMITED, AND HUTCHISON
TELECOMMUNICATIONS (HONG KONG)
LIMITED,**
Defendants,

AND

KDDI CORPORATION,
Defendant,

AND

PCCW MOBILE HK LIMITED,
Defendant,

AND

YAHOO! INC.,
Defendant,

AND

**KABUSHIKI KAISHA NTT DOCOMO AND
SOFTBANK MOBILE CORP.,**
Defendants,

AND

M3 WIRELESS LTD.,
Defendant,

AND

**NETCOM AS, NOW KNOWN AS TELIASONERA
NORGE AS AND TELIA DANMARK A/S,**

Defendants,

AND

**TMN-TELECOMUNICACOES MOVEIS NACIONAIS,
S.A.,**

Defendant,

AND

**O2 (GERMANY) GMBH & CO. OHG, O2 (UK)
LIMITED, O2 COMMUNICATIONS (IRELAND)
LTD., PEGASO PCS, S.A. DE C.V., TELEFONICA
MOVILES ARGENTINA, S.A., TELEFONICA
MOVILES ESPANA, S.A.U., TELEFONICA MOVILES
MEXICO, S.A. DE C.V., TELEFONICA O2 CZECH
REPUBLIC, A.S., TELEFONICA O2 EUROPE PLC,
ALSO KNOWN AS O2 PLC, TELEFONICA, S.A.,
VIVO PARTICIPACOES, S.A., AND VIVO, S.A.,**

Defendants,

AND

**PANNON GSM TELECOMMUNICATIONS LTD.,
SONOFON A/S, SWISSCOM MOBILE A.G., TDC A/S,
TDC SWITZERLAND AG, ALSO KNOWN AS
SUNRISE, TELENOR MOBIL A.S., AND TOTAL
ACCESS COMMUNICATION PLC, ALSO KNOWN
AS DTAC,**

Defendants,

AND

SONAECOM-SERVICOS DE COMUNICACOES, S.A.,

Defendant,

AND

**TELECOM ITALIA S.P.A., TELECOM PERSONAL
S.A., TIM CELULAR S.A., AND TIM
PARTICIPACOES S.A., ALSO KNOWN AS TIM
BRAZIL,**
Defendants,

AND

TRUE MOVE COMPANY LIMITED,
Defendant,

AND

**WIND HELLAS TELECOMMUNICATIONS S.A. AND
WIND TELECOMUNICAZIONI SPA,**
Defendants,

AND

AVEA ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S.,
Defendant,

AND

T-MOBILE USA, INC.,
Defendant-Appellant,

AND

AT&T MOBILITY LLC,
Defendant-Appellant,

AND

**TELE-MOBILE COMPANY, ALSO KNOWN AS
TELUS MOBILITY,**
Defendant,

AND

ROGERS WIRELESS PARTNERSHIP,
Defendant,

AND

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Defendant-Appellant,

AND

PALM, INC.,
Defendant-Appellant,

AND

**CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, DOING BUSINESS AS
VERIZON WIRELESS,**
Defendant-Appellant,

AND

HELIO, LLC AND SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION,
Defendants-Appellants,

AND

LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,
Defendant-Appellant,

AND

**MOTOROLA, INC., NOW KNOWN AS MOTOROLA
SOLUTIONS, INC.,**
Defendant-Appellant,

AND

IDEA CELLULAR LIMITED,
Defendant,

AND

**DEUTSCHE TELEKOM AG, MOBILEONE LTD.,
ORANGE LIMITED, ORANGE NEDERLAND N.V.,
TURKCELL ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S., AND
UPSIDE WIRELESS INC., ALSO KNOWN AS IPIPI,**
Defendants,

AND

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,
Intervenor.

2011-1612, -1613

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland in case no. 07-CV-3012, Judge Alexander Williams, Jr.

ON MOTION

ORDER

The Defendants-Cross Appellants move to voluntarily dismiss Cross-Appeal Nos. 2011-1612 and 2011-1613 and to conform the briefing schedule to the dismissal of the cross appeals.

Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) The motion to dismiss cross appeal nos. 2011-1612 and 2011-1613 is granted. 2011-1612 and 2011-1613 are dismissed. The revised official caption for 2011-1581 is reflected above.

(2) Each side shall bear its own costs in 2011-1612 and 2011-1613.

(3) The motion to conform the briefing schedule is granted to the extent that the appellees' principal briefs are due on February 24, 2012 and the appellant's reply brief is due on March 30, 2012.

FOR THE COURT

JAN 20 2012

Date

/s/ Jan Horbaly

Jan Horbaly

Clerk

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR
THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

JAN 20 2012

JAN HORBALY
CLERK

cc: Bryant C. Boren, Jr., Esq.
Doris Johnson Hines, Esq.
Sharon A. Israel, Esq.
Matthew J. Moore, Esq.
Ian N. Feinberg, Esq.
Roderick R. McKelvie, Esq.
Louis M. Solomon, Esq.
Kevin P. Anderson, Esq.
Brian Wm. Higgins, Esq.
Stefani E. Shanberg, Esq.
Stuart J. Sinder, Esq.
James W. Dabney, Esq.
Stephen B. Kinnaird, Esq.
Brian M. Koide, Esq.
William H. Burgess, Esq.
Deanne E. Maynard, Esq.
Michael J. McKeon, Esq.
Robert C. Bertin, Esq.
George F. Pappas, Esq.
Jonathan E. Retsky, Esq.
Stephen S. Madsen, Esq.
Kevin Walsh, Esq.
Russell E. Levine, Esq.
Michael M. Markman, Esq.
Robert C. Nissen, Esq.
Edward Han, Esq.
Brian C. Riopelle, Esq.
Ramsey M. Al-Salam, Esq.
Abbe F. Fletman, Esq.
David L. Leichtman, Esq.
Andrew R. Sommer, Esq.
Joseph A. Rhoa, Esq.
Maximilian A. Grant, Esq.
Jay F. Utley, Esq.
Adam Gahtan, Esq.
Adam R. Alper, Esq.
Scott R. Matthews, Esq.

Steven Jay Young, Esq.
Victor Siber, Esq.

s21

Issued As A Mandate (as to 2011-1612, -1613 only): JAN 20 2012