

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

**GORDON ARTHUR WOODLEY AND DENISE LYNN
WOODLEY,**
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

**DANIEL HAGGART AND KATHY HAGGART, FOR
THEMSELVES AND AS REPRESENTATIVES OF A
CLASS OF SIMILARLY SITUATED PERSONS,**
Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

UNITED STATES,
Defendant-Appellee.

2014-5106

Appeal from the United States Court of Federal
Claims in No. 1:09-cv-00103-CFL, Judge Charles F.
Lettow.

ON MOTION

PER CURIAM.

ORDER

Daniel Haggart et al. (“Class Members”) move to strike certain pages from appellants’ appendix. Appellants oppose. Class Members also move to dismiss appellants’ appeal as frivolous and for summary affirmance. Appellants and the United States oppose.

The court deems it the better course for the Class Members to raise their arguments for affirmance and their arguments to strike in their response brief.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) The motion to strike is denied. The parties are directed to address whether the documents are properly before the court in their briefs.

(2) The motion to dismiss or for summary affirmance is denied. The appellees’ response briefs are due within 40 days of the date of filing of this order.

FOR THE COURT

/s/ Daniel E. O’Toole
Daniel E. O’Toole
Clerk of Court